UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 24-09644-JLS(PDx)			Date	February 10, 2025
Title	Mario Mendoza v. Norma E. Sandoval, et al.				
Present: The Honorable		JOSEPHINE L. STATON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
<u>Kelly Davis</u> Deputy Clerk			None Present Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.		
Attorneys Present f		for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
	None Pre	sent	None Present		
Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION					
The Court, on its own motion, hereby ORDERS plaintiff, to show cause in writing no later than FEBRUARY 18 , 2025 , why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiffs, the Court will consider the filing of the following, as an appropriate response to this OSC, on or before the above date:					
<u>X</u> Plain	tiff filing a Moti	on(s) for Entry	of Default Judgmen	t (FRCivF	' 55b)
Absent a showing of good cause, an action shall be dismissed if the summons and complaint have not been served upon all defendants within 90 days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). The Court may dismiss the entire action prior to the expiration of such time, however, if plaintiff has not diligently prosecuted the action.					
It is plaintiff's responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiffs must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court. Local Rule 7-1.					
No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiffs is due.					
					<u> </u>
			Initial of Dep	uty Clerk	kd